

# Florida Radiological Society Research Symposium

## Criteria and Grading System Sheet

### CRITERIA

Judges are asked to consider the following criteria when grading posters.

- I. ORIGINALITY:** How original is the concept presented in this poster? Or, how original is the **new approach to an** old problem?
- 2. SIGNIFICANCE:** How significant are the posters conclusions in increasing understanding of a disease process, or in improving the diagnosis or treatment of a disease state?
- 3. PRESENTATION:** How logical are the ideas presented in this poster? How interesting is the manner of presentation? How clearly written and free of significant grammatical problems is its abstract?
- 4. METHODS:** If applicable, how suitable is the research design for the stated objectives, and how appropriate are any statistical techniques applied?
- 5. VISUAL IMPACT:** How effective is this poster visually? How valuable is each figure and graph in furthering viewers' understanding of the research subject?

### SCORING SYSTEM

Below are the point spread and description for each Quality Range. When assigning grades to your posters, remember that each is competing with numerous others.

- 90-99 OUTSTANDING:** Poster is of outstanding quality in Criteria 1-5, and presenting author made an excellent presentation. Definitely deserves to be considered a winner.
- 80-89 VERY GOOD:** Poster is of consistently high quality in Criteria 1-5, and presenting author made a strong presentation; however, the quality on a whole is slightly below that of the Outstanding Range.
- 60-79 GOOD:** While quality of the poster is generally good, it is not of consistently high quality across Criteria 1-5. Presenting author was conversant with the research.
- S SATISFACTORY:** While the quality of the poster across Criteria 1-5 is satisfactory, the poster lacks such features as would distinguish it as meriting an award in this competition.